

**Missouri School Improvement Program
MSIP Review AQ Statistical Summary Report 2004-2005**

GILMAN CITY R-IV School District

MSIP Standard	Indicator description	Abbrev. for AQ Source	N	Mean	Ref Group Mean	Std Dev	Ref Group Std Dev	Dist mean as a proportion of ref group mean	Percentile
6.6.2	% I feel safe at this school	sup	9	100.00	88.40	0.00	10.60	1.13	100
7.3.1	% Vocational education essential in district	fac	21	95.00	71.70	21.80	21.60	1.32	96
6.4.1	% child uses computers effectively at schl	prt	50	84.00	69.80	37.00	9.30	1.20	96
6.8.1	% know how to find info for class projects	sec	78	87.00	76.00	33.60	7.40	1.14	94
6.3.1	% teachers are well organized & prepared	sec	77	83.00	69.90	37.70	10.20	1.19	92
6.3.4	% use previous classes info to plan instruct	fac	20	90.00	80.50	30.80	8.10	1.12	91
6.4.3	m adequacy of computer access & teachrs	sec	78	4.00	3.70	0.90	0.20	1.08	90
6.9.4	% counselor is available to help w problem	prt	55	76.00	64.70	42.90	11.20	1.17	88
6.1.3	% learn about other cultures in school	sec	78	68.00	55.30	47.00	11.90	1.23	88
6.6.2	% child feels safe at this school	prt	54	89.00	78.80	31.70	9.80	1.13	85
7.5	% parents know what goes on in school	sec	78	71.00	62.50	45.90	10.00	1.14	85
6.8.1	% library has up-to-date resource materials	sec	76	71.00	58.00	45.70	13.90	1.22	85
6.3.2	m teaching strategies meet indiv needs	sec	78	3.90	3.80	0.80	0.20	1.03	83
6.4.4	m computers & internet a part of teaching	fac	21	4.10	3.80	0.60	0.40	1.08	82
7.3.1	% Vocational education essential in district	sec	77	55.00	46.20	50.10	10.50	1.19	82
6.1.1	% curriculum articulated	sec	78	64.00	58.10	48.30	9.10	1.10	81
6.4.1	m availability of computers, internet & tech	fac	21	4.20	4.00	0.50	0.40	1.05	79
6.3.1	% prof dev has changed teaching	fac	20	80.00	73.30	41.00	9.90	1.09	78
6.4.3	m adequacy of computer & tech training	fac	20	4.10	3.90	0.70	0.40	1.05	76
6.6.2	% I feel safe at this school	std	96	75.00	69.70	43.50	9.50	1.08	74
6.4.4	% frequency of computer use at school	fac	20	70.00	63.30	47.00	13.40	1.11	72
8.2.1	% teachers regularly talk about std achieve	fac	21	95.00	89.70	21.80	7.20	1.06	72
6.7.2	% participation in professional development	fac	20	75.00	67.00	44.40	15.40	1.12	71
6.4.2	% school provides materials needed to learn	sec	77	84.00	79.40	36.50	8.90	1.06	71
6.8.1	m satisfied with library media center	fac	21	4.30	4.20	0.50	0.30	1.02	69
6.4.4	% frequency of computer use at school	sec	78	59.00	52.70	49.50	18.20	1.12	68
6.9.3	% counselor helped create plan for goals	sec	78	53.00	46.30	50.30	14.40	1.14	68
6.9.3	% have been encouraged to set goals	sec	78	73.00	68.90	44.60	10.30	1.06	67
8.2.1	% school has written plan improve achieve	prt	54	52.00	48.50	50.40	10.00	1.07	66
6.1.3	% emphasis on other cultures	fac	20	30.00	28.00	47.00	10.90	1.07	65
6.2.4	m use disaggregated data--improve perform	fac	21	3.80	3.70	0.60	0.40	1.03	63
6.2.2	% building motivates students for MAP	sec	78	85.00	83.20	36.30	7.50	1.02	63

**Missouri School Improvement Program
MSIP Review AQ Statistical Summary Report 2004-2005**

GILMAN CITY R-IV School District

MSIP Standard	Indicator description	Abbrev. for AQ Source	N	Mean	Ref Group Mean	Std Dev	Ref Group Std Dev	Dist mean as a proportion of ref group mean	Percentile
6.5.2	m teachers accept responsibility	std	96	4.00	4.00	0.80	0.20	1.00	63
6.1.4	% students have curriculum input	fac	20	40.00	38.70	50.30	18.70	1.03	62
8.2.3	% CSIP has been eval & updated recently	fac	21	86.00	78.10	35.90	15.20	1.10	61
6.3.1	% my teachers are good teachers	std	96	75.00	74.70	43.50	8.30	1.00	60
6.1.2	m training--classroom assessment strategy	fac	21	3.50	3.50	1.10	0.30	1.00	54
8.7.3	% board listens to parents concerns	prt	54	44.00	44.70	50.20	10.20	0.98	54
6.7.2	m district expects participation in prof dev	fac	21	4.50	4.60	0.50	0.10	0.98	53
6.9.3	% school has helped child set goals	prt	53	47.00	47.60	50.40	7.80	0.99	53
8.5.4	% community provides enough money	sup	8	63.00	66.10	51.80	19.30	0.95	51
8.5.4	% community provides enough money	fac	21	62.00	64.00	49.80	19.90	0.97	50
6.5.1	m positive school climate	std	96	3.70	3.80	0.90	0.20	0.97	50
6.3.1	% prof dev relates instructional improvement	fac	20	90.00	90.10	30.80	6.60	1.00	49
6.3.2	% the way they teach works well for child	prt	55	67.00	69.00	47.40	9.70	0.97	47
8.5.4	% community provides enough money	prt	55	51.00	53.20	50.50	15.50	0.96	47
6.2.5	m satisfied with courses & materials	sec	78	3.30	3.40	0.90	0.30	0.97	47
6.1.3	% teach research skills with instruction	fac	20	60.00	62.90	50.30	9.30	0.95	46
6.5.1	m positive school climate	prt	55	3.80	3.90	0.70	0.20	0.97	46
6.9.4	% individual counseling services available	fac	21	90.00	89.10	30.10	10.70	1.01	44
6.5.2	m teachers accept responsibility	prt	55	4.00	4.10	0.60	0.10	0.98	44
8.5.2	% have input into budget process	fac	20	75.00	77.70	44.40	13.70	0.97	40
8.10	% school building is in good condition	sec	78	54.00	58.60	50.20	17.80	0.92	39
8.3.3	% board sets policies, admin implements	fac	20	85.00	86.20	36.60	10.20	0.99	38
6.7.2	m inservice training provided & is useful	sup	7	3.60	3.80	0.90	0.40	0.95	38
7.3.1	% Vocational education essential in district	prt	52	54.00	56.90	50.30	8.70	0.95	37
6.3.1	% child's teachers are good teachers	prt	53	77.00	80.10	42.30	7.80	0.96	37
7.5	m district efforts to involve parents	fac	21	4.30	4.40	0.50	0.20	0.98	34
6.6.2	% I feel safe at this school	fac	21	95.00	96.10	21.80	3.90	0.99	33
7.5	m district efforts to involve parents	prt	55	3.80	3.90	0.70	0.20	0.97	33
6.9.4	% can talk w counselor about pers problem	sec	78	54.00	58.50	50.20	14.40	0.92	33
8.10	% school building is in good condition	fac	21	76.00	82.40	43.60	15.40	0.92	30
6.1.4	% parents have curriculum input	fac	21	38.00	50.00	49.80	18.80	0.76	28
8.10	% school building is in good condition	prt	53	64.00	73.10	48.40	15.40	0.88	26

**Missouri School Improvement Program
MSIP Review AQ Statistical Summary Report 2004-2005**

GILMAN CITY R-IV School District

MSIP Standard	Indicator description	Abbrev. for AQ Source	N	Mean	Ref Group Mean	Std Dev	Ref Group Std Dev	Dist mean as a proportion of ref group mean	Percentile
6.1.2	m training--curriculum develop & alignment	fac	20	3.90	4.20	0.80	0.30	0.93	25
6.1.1	m curriculum guide use & effectiveness	fac	20	3.90	4.10	0.50	0.30	0.95	24
6.2.5	% what is taught meets child's needs	prt	55	62.00	69.60	49.00	9.60	0.89	20
6.1.1	% frequency of curriculum guide use	fac	20	45.00	60.70	51.00	18.10	0.74	17
6.1.3	% child taught about other cultures	prt	53	60.00	67.90	49.40	7.70	0.88	17
6.4.2	% good learning materials at child's school	prt	54	69.00	77.30	46.90	8.50	0.89	15
6.2.2	% building motivates students for MAP	prt	51	80.00	86.70	40.10	4.90	0.92	12
8.2.1	% I know what csip expects me to do	fac	21	71.00	84.90	46.30	10.20	0.84	10
6.5.1	m positive school climate	sup	9	3.70	4.10	0.80	0.30	0.90	8
6.4.1	% computer access at school is important	prt	54	87.00	91.90	33.90	2.80	0.95	6
8.10	% school building is in good condition	sup	9	44.00	73.70	52.70	17.50	0.60	6
6.4.2	% instructional materials support curriculum	fac	20	85.00	94.00	36.60	4.90	0.90	5
6.5.1	m positive school climate	fac	21	4.00	4.40	0.60	0.20	0.91	4
6.5.2	m teachers accept responsibility	fac	21	4.10	4.40	0.50	0.20	0.93	4
8.3.3	% board sets policies, admin implements	sup	7	43.00	71.40	53.50	15.10	0.60	3
6.2.2	% building motivates students for MAP	fac	21	67.00	88.80	48.30	9.10	0.75	2
6.3.4	% use performance data to plan instruction	fac	20	65.00	84.40	48.90	7.80	0.77	1