

*Missouri School Improvement Program
MSIP Review AQ Statistical Summary Report 2005-2006*

SOUTHWEST LIVINGSTON CO. R-I School District

MSIP Standard	Indicator description	Abbrev. for AQ Source	N	Mean	Ref Group Mean	Std Dev	Ref Group Std Dev	Dist mean as a proportion of ref group mean	Percentile
6.4.2	% instructional materials support curriculum	fac	25	100.00	94.00	0.00	4.90	1.06	100
6.9.4	% individual counseling services available	fac	25	100.00	89.10	0.00	10.70	1.12	100
6.6.2	% I feel safe at this school	fac	25	100.00	96.10	0.00	3.90	1.04	100
8.5.4	% community provides enough money	sup	14	100.00	66.10	0.00	19.30	1.51	100
8.10	% school building is in good condition	sup	14	100.00	73.70	0.00	17.50	1.36	100
7.3.1	% Vocational education essential in district	pvt	164	73.00	56.90	44.80	8.70	1.28	99
6.1.2	m training--classroom assessment strategy	fac	25	4.10	3.50	1.10	0.30	1.17	97
8.5.4	% community provides enough money	fac	25	96.00	64.00	20.00	19.90	1.50	96
7.3.1	% Vocational education essential in district	sec	125	62.00	46.20	48.80	10.50	1.34	96
6.2.2	% building motivates students for MAP	pvt	164	95.00	86.70	22.80	4.90	1.10	95
8.3.3	% board sets policies, admin implements	fac	25	96.00	86.20	20.00	10.20	1.11	92
6.9.3	% counselor helped create plan for goals	sec	125	65.00	46.30	48.00	14.40	1.40	92
8.2.1	% I know what csip expects me to do	fac	25	96.00	84.90	20.00	10.20	1.13	91
6.5.1	m positive school climate	sup	14	4.40	4.10	0.40	0.30	1.07	91
6.1.1	% curriculum articulated	sec	125	70.00	58.10	46.20	9.10	1.20	90
6.3.1	% teachers are well organized & prepared	sec	126	81.00	69.90	39.40	10.20	1.16	90
6.4.3	m adequacy of computer access & teachrs	sec	126	4.00	3.70	0.70	0.20	1.08	90
6.7.2	m inservice training provided & is useful	sup	14	4.30	3.80	0.70	0.40	1.13	90
6.4.2	% school provides materials needed to learn	sec	125	89.00	79.40	31.70	8.90	1.12	89
8.10	% school building is in good condition	sec	125	78.00	58.60	41.30	17.80	1.33	89
7.5	% parents know what goes on in school	sec	125	74.00	62.50	43.80	10.00	1.18	88
6.1.3	% learn about other cultures in school	sec	125	68.00	55.30	46.80	11.90	1.23	88
6.2.2	% building motivates students for MAP	sec	125	91.00	83.20	28.40	7.50	1.09	88
6.9.4	% can talk w counselor about pers problem	sec	126	75.00	58.50	43.70	14.40	1.28	88
6.1.3	% emphasis on other cultures	fac	25	36.00	28.00	49.00	10.90	1.29	87
6.2.4	m use disaggregated data--improve perform	fac	25	4.10	3.70	0.80	0.40	1.11	86
6.4.1	m availability of computers, internet & tech	fac	25	4.30	4.00	0.60	0.40	1.08	85
6.2.5	m satisfied with courses & materials	sec	126	3.60	3.40	0.70	0.30	1.06	85
6.5.1	m positive school climate	fac	25	4.50	4.40	0.40	0.20	1.02	84
6.8.1	% know how to find info for class projects	sec	123	82.00	76.00	38.50	7.40	1.08	84
6.3.4	% use performance data to plan instruction	fac	25	92.00	84.40	27.70	7.80	1.09	83
6.3.2	m teaching strategies meet indiv needs	sec	126	3.90	3.80	0.60	0.20	1.03	83

Missouri School Improvement Program
MSIP Review AQ Statistical Summary Report 2005-2006

SOUTHWEST LIVINGSTON CO. R-I School District

MSIP Standard	Indicator description	Abbrev. for AQ Source	N	Mean	Ref Group Mean	Std Dev	Ref Group Std Dev	Dist mean as a proportion of ref group mean	Percentile
6.3.1	% prof dev relates instructional improvement	fac	25	96.00	90.10	20.00	6.60	1.07	82
6.4.4	% frequency of computer use at school	fac	25	76.00	63.30	43.60	13.40	1.20	82
8.2.1	% teachers regularly talk about std achieve	fac	25	96.00	89.70	20.00	7.20	1.07	81
6.4.3	m adequacy of computer & tech training	fac	25	4.20	3.90	0.60	0.40	1.08	81
7.3.1	% Vocational education essential in district	fac	25	88.00	71.70	33.20	21.60	1.23	80
7.5	m district efforts to involve parents	fac	25	4.50	4.40	0.40	0.20	1.02	80
6.5.2	m teachers accept responsibility	std	168	4.10	4.00	0.70	0.20	1.03	80
8.3.3	% board sets policies, admin implements	sup	14	86.00	71.40	36.30	15.10	1.20	80
8.5.2	% have input into budget process	fac	25	88.00	77.70	33.20	13.70	1.13	79
6.9.3	% have been encouraged to set goals	sec	126	76.00	68.90	42.80	10.30	1.10	79
6.3.1	% prof dev has changed teaching	fac	25	80.00	73.30	40.80	9.90	1.09	78
6.7.2	m district expects participation in prof dev	fac	25	4.60	4.60	0.50	0.10	1.00	78
8.10	% school building is in good condition	prt	165	85.00	73.10	35.40	15.40	1.16	77
6.2.2	% building motivates students for MAP	fac	24	96.00	88.80	20.40	9.10	1.08	76
6.6.2	% I feel safe at this school	std	167	75.00	69.70	43.20	9.50	1.08	74
6.6.2	% child feels safe at this school	prt	164	84.00	78.80	36.60	9.80	1.07	72
8.2.1	% school has written plan improve achieve	prt	164	54.00	48.50	50.00	10.00	1.11	72
6.8.1	% library has up-to-date resource materials	sec	125	68.00	58.00	46.80	13.90	1.17	71
8.10	% school building is in good condition	fac	25	92.00	82.40	27.70	15.40	1.12	69
6.5.1	m positive school climate	std	168	3.80	3.80	0.80	0.20	1.00	69
6.3.4	% use previous classes info to plan instruct	fac	25	84.00	80.50	37.40	8.10	1.04	68
6.6.2	% I feel safe at this school	sup	14	93.00	88.40	26.70	10.60	1.05	67
6.1.1	% frequency of curriculum guide use	fac	25	68.00	60.70	47.60	18.10	1.12	66
6.1.1	m curriculum guide use & effectiveness	fac	25	4.20	4.10	0.60	0.30	1.02	66
6.1.3	% teach research skills with instruction	fac	25	64.00	62.90	49.00	9.30	1.02	61
8.2.3	% CSIP has been eval & updated recently	fac	25	84.00	78.10	37.40	15.20	1.08	60
6.3.1	% my teachers are good teachers	std	167	75.00	74.70	43.20	8.30	1.00	60
8.7.3	% board listens to parents concerns	prt	164	45.00	44.70	49.90	10.20	1.01	59
6.5.2	m teachers accept responsibility	fac	25	4.40	4.40	0.50	0.20	1.00	58
6.4.1	% child uses computers effectively at schl	prt	166	71.00	69.80	45.50	9.30	1.02	57
6.1.4	% parents have curriculum input	fac	25	48.00	50.00	51.00	18.80	0.96	54
6.7.2	% participation in professional development	fac	25	68.00	67.00	47.60	15.40	1.01	54

*Missouri School Improvement Program
MSIP Review AQ Statistical Summary Report 2005-2006*

SOUTHWEST LIVINGSTON CO. R-I School District

MSIP Standard	Indicator description	Abbrev. for AQ Source	N	Mean	Ref Group Mean	Std Dev	Ref Group Std Dev	Dist mean as a proportion of ref group mean	Percentile
6.1.4	% students have curriculum input	fac	25	32.00	38.70	47.60	18.70	0.83	47
6.3.2	% the way they teach works well for child	prt	164	67.00	69.00	47.10	9.70	0.97	47
6.2.5	% what is taught meets child's needs	prt	165	69.00	69.60	46.40	9.60	0.99	47
6.4.4	m computers & internet a part of teaching	fac	25	3.80	3.80	0.60	0.40	1.00	44
6.9.4	% counselor is available to help w problem	prt	164	65.00	64.70	47.80	11.20	1.00	42
6.9.3	% school has helped child set goals	prt	165	44.00	47.60	49.80	7.80	0.92	40
6.1.3	% child taught about other cultures	prt	165	64.00	67.90	48.10	7.70	0.94	34
8.5.4	% community provides enough money	prt	166	45.00	53.20	49.90	15.50	0.85	33
7.5	m district efforts to involve parents	prt	168	3.80	3.90	0.70	0.20	0.97	33
6.4.4	% frequency of computer use at school	sec	126	43.00	52.70	49.70	18.20	0.82	33
6.4.2	% good learning materials at child's school	prt	165	72.00	77.30	45.30	8.50	0.93	23
6.5.1	m positive school climate	prt	168	3.70	3.90	0.80	0.20	0.95	20
6.1.2	m training--curriculum develop & alignment	fac	25	3.80	4.20	1.20	0.30	0.90	19
6.3.1	% child's teachers are good teachers	prt	166	72.00	80.10	45.20	7.80	0.90	17
6.5.2	m teachers accept responsibility	prt	167	3.90	4.10	0.60	0.10	0.95	17
6.4.1	% computer access at school is important	prt	166	87.00	91.90	33.30	2.80	0.95	6
6.8.1	m satisfied with library media center	fac	25	3.60	4.20	1.10	0.30	0.86	3